

[REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 22 Nov 2024 11:50:42  
[REDACTED]

**Cc:**

**Subject:** FW: Consultation Letter for Planning Application Ref: 114749/VAR/24 Land Known As Carrington Village  
On Land Off Manchester Road, Carrington

**Attachments:**

---

Hi Richard and Planning Team

I'd like to just register our formal objection to this variation. We think it is premature to lose the alternative route to the CRR through Carrington Village, especially given the following:

- the changes proposed to the Burford Bridge may not be acceptable, especially if they prevent the future use of the former railway line - the volume of HGV traffic is anticipated to reach at least 3,000 HGVs per day, those businesses should not be encouraged to use an unsustainable solution at a time when there is a clear understanding of the implications of the climate emergency and the health impacts (air, noise, light, vibration and water pollution) affecting both humans and wildlife - Trafford should be making the case for the contents of those HGVs to be transported via rail or water - the New Carrington site incorporates former railway lines and is adjacent to the Manchester Ship Canal and should not be predicated on an unsustainable solution that increases carbon emissions and pollution!
- the planning application for the CRR has not yet been funded, or approved, and this route option may prove to be less expensive than removing the Burford bridge
- there is a judicial review pending, the outcome of which may result in changes to the currently proposed New Carrington Masterplan.

Thanks  
[REDACTED]